

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



July 24, 2006

COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 06/07-03

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE FISCAL OFFICERS
ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2006/07 COMMUNITY CARE LICENSING
(CCL) ALLOCATION FOR FOSTER FAMILY HOMES (FFH) AND
FAMILY CHILD CARE HOMES (FCCH)

The purpose of this letter is to provide counties with the allocations for the CCL - FFH and FCCH programs. A total of \$5,567,000 State General Fund (SGF) for FFH and \$1,872,000 for FCCH in both federal funds and SGF has been made available upon approval of the FY 2006/07 State Budget Act.

FFH Licensing and FFH Recruitment

Attachment I displays the allocation of the \$5,550,000 SGF for the FFH Licensing and FFH Recruitment. For those counties performing FFH Licensing activities, the funds were distributed by using each county's average monthly FFH caseload for calendar year 2005 as reported on the Licensing of Facilities for Children Monthly Statistical Report (LIC 181), and divided by the workload standard of 126 facilities per month per full-time equivalent (FTE). This resulted in the number of justified staff required to license this facility category. A worker to supervisor ratio of 6.35:1 was applied to the justified staffing level and the total staffing level was then multiplied by the individual county's actual FFH unit cost.

An additional \$17,000 SGF was allocated to those counties performing FFH Licensing activities for the Greshner v. Anderson premise. This premise reflects the costs for additional time necessary to issue exemption needed notices and exemption denied notices to individuals with criminal convictions requiring exemptions. Funds were allocated on a percent to total basis according to calendar year 2005 FFH caseload.

For those counties that do not participate in the licensing program, the FFH Recruitment allocation funds were distributed based on each county's average monthly cases in Family Reunification and Permanent Placement for calendar year 2005, and the number of children under 18 years of age using the most recent data from the U.S. Census Bureau.

The FFH Licensing and Recruitment administrative costs, including staff development, are charged to Program Codes (PCs) 155, 156, and 158 on the County Expense Claim (CEC). State Use Only (SUO) Code 192 will be used for expenditures exceeding the SGF CCL/FFH allocation which will be transferred to county share.

For information only, Attachment II displays the \$119,000 in federal Title XX allocation funds. These funds were distributed based on a percent to total of each county's FFH Licensing allocation.

Through the use of SUO Codes, funding will be shifted from SGF CCL/FFH to federal Title XX. This requires no input by the county. SUO Code 336 will add together the state portions of PC 156 and back out these costs from SGF. SUO Code 337 will then fund the amount of SUO Code 336 with federal Title XX funds and will be tracked against the new Title XX Licensing ledger. Expenditures exceeding the Title XX Licensing allocation will be transferred back to SGF CCL/FFH using SUO Code 338.

FCCH Licensing

Attachment III displays the SGF and federal allocation of \$1,862,000 for FCCH Licensing. The \$1,544,000 SGF for FCCH Basic and \$318,000 reimbursement funds were distributed by using each county's average monthly FCCH caseload for calendar year 2005 as reported on the LIC 181, and divided by the workload standard of 282 facilities per month per FTE. This resulted in the number of justified staff required to license this facility category. A worker to supervisor ratio of 6.35:1 was applied to the justified staffing level and the total staffing level was then multiplied by the individual county's actual FCCH unit cost. An additional \$10,000 SGF was allocated for the Greshner v. Anderson premise based on a percent to total of the FCCH caseload.

The FCCH Licensing administrative costs, including staff development, are charged to PC 157 - Licensing - Family Day Care, on the CEC. SUO Codes 061 and 062 will be used to insure that 100 percent of the federal reimbursement funds are expended before counties can access their SGF allocation. Expenditures exceeding the SGF allocation will be transferred to county share using SUO Code 153.

Any questions regarding this allocation can be directed to fiscal.systems@dss.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

FRAN MUELLER, Chief
Financial Management and Contracts Branch

Attachments
c: County Welfare Directors Association

FY 2006/07 CCL - FOSTER FAMILY HOMES ALLOCATION

COUNTY	FY 2006/07 FFH BASIC SGF	FY 2006/07 FFH-RECRUITMENT SGF	GRESHER v. ANDERSON SGF	TOTAL FFH ALLOCATION SGF
Alameda	\$277,101	\$0	\$544	\$277,645
Alpine	\$0	\$46	\$0	\$46
Amador	\$0	\$621	\$0	\$621
Butte	\$25,157	\$0	\$193	\$25,350
Calaveras	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Colusa	\$0	\$886	\$0	\$886
Contra Costa	\$287,598	\$0	\$956	\$288,554
Del Norte	\$14,980	\$0	\$86	\$15,066
El Dorado	\$32,289	\$0	\$140	\$32,429
Fresno	\$132,675	\$0	\$691	\$133,366
Glenn	\$4,397	\$0	\$30	\$4,427
Humboldt	\$0	\$4,211	\$0	\$4,211
Imperial	\$31,046	\$0	\$184	\$31,230
Inyo	\$7,180	\$0	\$23	\$7,203
Kern	\$191,613	\$0	\$923	\$192,536
Kings	\$19,970	\$0	\$140	\$20,110
Lake	\$0	\$2,882	\$0	\$2,882
Lassen	\$0	\$1,137	\$0	\$1,137
Los Angeles	\$0	\$423,127	\$0	\$423,127
Madera	\$0	\$5,973	\$0	\$5,973
Marin	\$46,880	\$0	\$135	\$47,015
Mariposa	\$9,470	\$0	\$43	\$9,513
Mendocino	\$80,600	\$0	\$132	\$80,732
Merced	\$37,945	\$0	\$112	\$38,057
Modoc	\$0	\$365	\$0	\$365
Mono	\$0	\$172	\$0	\$172
Monterey	\$65,695	\$0	\$239	\$65,934
Napa	\$36,360	\$0	\$131	\$36,491
Nevada	\$0	\$1,725	\$0	\$1,725
Orange	\$445,178	\$0	\$1,279	\$446,457
Placer	\$48,324	\$0	\$232	\$48,556
Plumas	\$0	\$599	\$0	\$599
Riverside	\$0	\$68,256	\$0	\$68,256
Sacramento	\$335,679	\$0	\$988	\$336,667
San Benito	\$2,069	\$0	\$17	\$2,086
San Bernardino	\$404,090	\$0	\$1,274	\$405,364
San Diego	\$888,716	\$0	\$3,564	\$892,280
San Francisco	\$140,585	\$0	\$275	\$140,860
San Joaquin	\$143,797	\$0	\$363	\$144,160
San Luis Obispo	\$55,008	\$0	\$168	\$55,176
San Mateo	\$118,942	\$0	\$314	\$119,256
Santa Barbara	\$99,511	\$0	\$236	\$99,747
Santa Clara	\$407,020	\$0	\$951	\$407,971
Santa Cruz	\$84,226	\$0	\$241	\$84,467
Shasta	\$74,210	\$0	\$379	\$74,589
Sierra	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Siskiyou	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Solano	\$84,439	\$0	\$310	\$84,749
Sonoma	\$89,393	\$0	\$276	\$89,669
Stanislaus	\$68,444	\$0	\$274	\$68,718
Sutter	\$22,112	\$0	\$40	\$22,152
Tehama	\$21,991	\$0	\$93	\$22,084
Trinity	\$11,688	\$0	\$31	\$11,719
Tulare	\$64,406	\$0	\$480	\$64,886
Tuolumne	\$13,187	\$0	\$70	\$13,257
Ventura	\$102,502	\$0	\$404	\$102,906
Yolo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Yuba	\$13,527	\$0	\$39	\$13,566
Total	\$5,040,000	\$510,000	\$17,000	\$5,567,000

FY 2006/07
CCL FFH-TITLE XX
FEDERAL
Display Only

COUNTY	
Alameda	\$6,543
Alpine	\$0
Amador	\$0
Butte	\$594
Calaveras	\$0
Colusa	\$0
Contra Costa	\$6,791
Del Norte	\$354
El Dorado	\$762
Fresno	\$3,133
Glenn	\$104
Humboldt	\$0
Imperial	\$733
Inyo	\$170
Kern	\$4,524
Kings	\$472
Lake	\$0
Lassen	\$0
Los Angeles	\$0
Madera	\$0
Marin	\$1,107
Mariposa	\$224
Mendocino	\$1,903
Merced	\$896
Modoc	\$0
Mono	\$0
Monterey	\$1,551
Napa	\$859
Nevada	\$0
Orange	\$10,511
Placer	\$1,141
Plumas	\$0
Riverside	\$0
Sacramento	\$7,926
San Benito	\$49
San Bernardino	\$9,541
San Diego	\$20,981
San Francisco	\$3,319
San Joaquin	\$3,395
San Luis Obispo	\$1,299
San Mateo	\$2,808
Santa Barbara	\$2,350
Santa Clara	\$9,610
Santa Cruz	\$1,989
Shasta	\$1,752
Sierra	\$0
Siskiyou	\$0
Solano	\$1,994
Sonoma	\$2,111
Stanislaus	\$1,616
Sutter	\$522
Tehama	\$519
Trinity	\$276
Tulare	\$1,521
Tuolumne	\$311
Ventura	\$2,420
Yolo	\$0
Yuba	\$319
Total	\$119,000

FY 2006/07 CCL - FAMILY CHILD CARE HOMES ALLOCATION

COUNTY	FY 2006/07 FCCH BASIC SGF	FY 2006/07 FCCH-REIMBURSEMENT FEDERAL	GRESHER v. ANDERSON SGF	TOTAL FCCH ALLOCATION FED / SGF
Alameda	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Alpine	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Amador	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Butte	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Calaveras	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Colusa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contra Costa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Del Norte	\$16,078	\$3,311	\$150	\$19,539
El Dorado	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Fresno	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Glenn	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Humboldt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Imperial	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inyo	\$11,559	\$2,382	\$70	\$14,011
Kern	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kings	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lake	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lassen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Los Angeles	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Madera	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Marin	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mariposa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mendocino	\$61,681	\$12,704	\$317	\$74,702
Merced	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Modoc	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mono	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Monterey	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Napa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Nevada	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orange	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Placer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Plumas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Riverside	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sacramento	\$1,425,246	\$293,541	\$9,220	\$1,728,007
San Benito	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Bernardino	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Diego	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Francisco	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Joaquin	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Luis Obispo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Mateo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Santa Barbara	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Santa Clara	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Santa Cruz	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Shasta	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sierra	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Siskiyou	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Solano	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sonoma	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Stanislaus	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sutter	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Tehama	\$29,436	\$6,062	\$243	\$35,741
Trinity	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Tulare	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Tuolumne	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ventura	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Yolo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Yuba	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$1,544,000	\$318,000	\$10,000	\$1,872,000